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Dear Colleagues:

The attached proposal for a revised Academic Affairs Policy Statement No. 1, New Degree or Major Programs, will be an agenda item for the March 28, 2012, Full University Curriculum Committee meeting. The policy has been revised to comply with Board of Regents policy.

Sincerely,

David E. Shipley, Chair
University Curriculum Committee

cc: Provost Jere W. Morehead
    Dr. Laura D. Jolly
NEW DEGREE OR MAJOR PROGRAMS

Academic Affairs Policy Statement No. 1

1. References


b. Bylaws of the University Council of the University of Georgia, 1988.

2. Policy

a. Effective this date and until rescinded, programs of academic work shall not be added to the curriculum of the University of Georgia unless recommended by the University Curriculum Committee in accordance with the Bylaws of the University Council, submitted by the President of the University of Georgia to the Chancellor, and approved by the Board of Regents of the University System. Policy and implementing guidance outlined herein are applicable to all Academic Degree Programs involving 30 hours or more of course work in a field of study. The policy statement may be reproduced for local use. Minor programs (less than 30 hours of course work) and non-degree certificate programs shall be subject to separate policy statements and implementing guidelines. No provisions stated herein are intended to conflict with the Bylaws or the Academic Affairs Handbook.

b. A formal proposal is required when academic units contemplate adding a new degree or major program to the curricula of the institution. The procedure to be followed in developing the formal proposal appears in paragraph four of this statement.

3. Responsibility

a. Faculty
The responsibility for developing a new degree or major program resides with the faculty in each academic unit; however, only academic programs which promise to contribute to or otherwise enhance the mission of the University of Georgia should be considered for development.

b. Administrative
It shall be the responsibility of each school or college to ensure that all proposals receive appropriate faculty review prior to submission to the next higher administrative level. Both the head of the academic unit and the appropriate dean of the school or college submitting a proposal must review all proposals before they are submitted to the next higher administrative level. The Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost shall be responsible for reviewing proposals and providing any needed internal and external coordination of procedures. This shall include making appropriate recommendations to the President of the University on program proposals which are transmitted to the Board of Regents for action. The Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost shall keep unit (library, institute, department, school or college) heads informed of the current status of proposals as they move through the review stages required by governance procedures.
c. **Points of contact**

Academic units contemplating the development of new undergraduate degree or major programs should consult with the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. For new graduate degree or major programs, academic units should consult with the Dean of the Graduate School.

4. **Procedure**

The Board of Regents requires the submission of a formal proposal in support of a new degree or major program. The proposal should be consistent with the University mission and follow the format provided on the forms attached to this policy.

5. **Routing of Proposal**

All undergraduate proposals will be submitted by deans of respective schools or colleges or directors of institutes directly to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. Graduate Program proposals must first be reviewed by the Dean of the Graduate School who will then forward them to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. Proposals for all new programs or changes in existing programs will be reviewed by the University Curriculum Committee and subsequently forwarded with a recommendation to the University Council for consideration. University Council recommendations on proposals are forwarded to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost who will transmit the same to the President of the University for consideration. The President will transmit proposals to the Board of Regents with his recommendation.

6. **System Review**

a. The Office of the Senior Vice Chancellor for Academics and Fiscal Affairs will, as deemed appropriate, seek the advice of outside consultants in evaluating a program proposal.

b. As part of the review process for formal proposals, the Office of the Senior Vice Chancellor for Academics and Fiscal Affairs will disseminate to all University System of Georgia institutions, on a regular basis, a list of program proposals under consideration, and will invite interested parties to request a copy of the proposal for review and comment. Information received through this process will be considered in evaluating the proposals.

c. Once approved, all programs will undergo a system review during the seventh year of operation. This review is designed to evaluate how well the program is meeting the expectations that were laid out in the formal proposal.
Prospectus for New Degree, Major, or Distance Learning Programs

Institution Information

Institution:

Institutional Contact (President or Vice President for Academic Affairs):

Date:

Program Information

Name of Proposed Program/Inscription:

Degree:

Major (applicable if this is not a stand-alone degree):

Indication of whether the program will be offered 50% or more online (Yes or No):

Justification of Need:

1. Provide a justification of how the program is a primary need for the university system, the state, and the institution's service region.

2. Explain how the proposed program is tied to the state's economic development and any relevant major statewide initiatives (e.g., Complete College Georgia) and provide an analysis of demand for the program.

3. Include evidence that the program does not unnecessarily duplicate existing USG programs.
Formal Proposal for New Degree, Major, or Distance Learning Programs

Institution:

Institutional Contact (President or Vice President for Academic Affairs):

Date:

School/Division:

Department:

Departmental Contact:

Name of Proposed Program/Inscription:

Degree:

Major:

CIP Code:

Anticipated Implementation Date:
4. Similar Programs in the USG, Justification of Need, and Demand
   Include a list of existing similar distance education programs offered within the
   University System of Georgia. Please provide any additional information
   (beyond the prospectus) regarding need for the program. Also provide data
   indicating the market and student demand for the program.

5. Program Description and Objectives:

   a. Institutional Priority: Describe how the proposed program is aligned with
      the institution's academic strategic plan. Indicate where this program
      falls in terms of the institution's top priorities for new degrees.

   b. Brief explanation of the program and how it is to be delivered

   c. Objectives of the Program

6. Description of the program's fit with the institutional mission, existing degrees
   and majors, and nationally accepted trends in the discipline.

7. Curriculum: List the entire course of study required and recommended to
   complete the degree program. Provide a sample program of study that would be
   followed by a representative student. Include Area F requirements (if applicable).

   a. Clearly differentiate which courses are existing and those that are newly
      developed courses. Include course titles as well as acronyms and credit
      hour requirements associated with each course.

   b. Append course descriptions for all courses (existing and new courses).

   c. When describing required or elective courses, list all course prerequisites.

   d. Provide documentation that all courses in the proposed curriculum have
      met all institutional requirements for approval.

   e. Append materials available from national accrediting agencies or
      professional organizations as they relate to curriculum standards for the
      proposed program.

   f. Indicate ways in which the proposed program is consistent with national
      standards.

   g. If internships or field experiences are required as part of the program,
      provide information documenting internship availability as well as how
      students will be assigned and supervised.
h. Indicate the adequacy of core offerings to support the new program.

8. Admissions criteria. Please include required minima scores on appropriate standardized tests and grade point average requirements.

9. Availability of assistantships (if applicable).

10. Provide the student learning outcomes and other associated outcomes of the proposed program.

11. Student Employment Opportunities
   a. Describe the specific industries and companies in Georgia that need graduates with this degree.
   b. Describe and list the average number and type of positions available in Georgia and nationally to students who complete this degree.

12. Indicate when the program will be reviewed in the institution’s comprehensive program review process.

13. Administration of the program:
   a. Indicate where the program will be housed within the academic units of the institution.
   b. Describe the administration of the program inclusive of coordination and responsibility.

14. Waiver to Degree-Credit Hour (if applicable): If the program exceeds the maximum credit hour requirement at a specific degree level, then provide an explanation supporting the increase in hours (Note: The maximum for bachelor’s degrees is 120-semester credit hours and the maximum for master’s degrees is 36-semester credit hours).

15. Accreditation (if applicable): Describe the program’s alignment with disciplinary accreditation requirements and provide a time line for pursuing accreditation. Indicate the source of institutional funding that will be used, if needed, for the accreditation process.

16. Provide projected enrollment for the program during the first three years of implementation.
   a. Explain the specific methodology used to determine these projections and verify their accuracy, especially if new student enrollment will be needed to sustain funding for the program. Please indicate whether enrollments will be cohort-based.
b. If new enrollment is needed to sustain funding for the program, please explain how funding will be provided if enrollment fails to meet projections.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS</th>
<th>First Year FY</th>
<th>Second Year FY</th>
<th>Third Year FY</th>
<th>Fourth Year FY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Majors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shifted from other programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New to the institution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Majors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Course Sections Satisfying Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Program Course Sections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credit Hours Generated by Those Courses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing enrollments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New enrollments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Credit Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEGREES AWARDED</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17. Faculty

a. Provide an inventory of faculty directly involved with the administration of the program. On the list below indicate which persons are existing faculty and which are new hires. For each faculty member, provide the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Highest Degree</th>
<th>Degrees Earned</th>
<th>Academic Discipline</th>
<th>Current Workload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1:

Note 2:

Total Number of Faculty: __________

b. If it will be necessary to add faculty to support the program, give the desired qualifications of the persons to be added, and a timetable for adding new faculty.

c. If existing faculty will be used to deliver the new program, include a detailed faculty load analysis that explains how additional courses in the new program will be covered and what impact the new courses will have on faculty current workloads.
18. Fiscal, Facilities, Enrollment Impact, and Estimated Budget
   a. Provide a description of institutional resources that will be required for the
      program (e.g., personnel, library, equipment, laboratories, supplies, and
      capital expenditures at program start-up).
   b. If funding is needed to launch and support the program, provide a specific
      reallocation plan for how existing resources will be used. Describe what
      funds will be redirected to the new program and what impact the
      redirection will have on units that lose funding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I. ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS</th>
<th>First Year FY</th>
<th>Second Year FY</th>
<th>Third Year FY</th>
<th>Fourth Year FY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Program Course Sections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Credit Hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEGREES AWARDED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel – reassigned or existing positions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Personnel Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Existing Personnel Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| EXPENDITURES (Continued) | | | |
| Personnel – new positions | | | |
| Faculty | | | |
| Part-time Faculty | | | |
| Graduate Assistants | | | |
| Administrators | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Staff</th>
<th>Fringe Benefits</th>
<th>Other personnel costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total New Personnel Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start-up Costs (one-time expenses)</th>
<th>Library/learning resources</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total One-time Costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Costs (recurring costs – base budget)</th>
<th>Supplies/Expenses</th>
<th>Travel</th>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>Library/learning resources</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Recurring Costs**

**GRAND TOTAL COSTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III. REVENUE SOURCES</th>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th>Reallocate of existing funds</th>
<th>New student workload</th>
<th>New Tuition</th>
<th>Federal funds</th>
<th>Other grants</th>
<th>Student fees</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nature of Funds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Base budget</th>
<th>One-time funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRAND TOTAL REVENUES**
Facilities Information for New Academic Programs
(If the program is to be offered via distance education, the following facilities information is not required)

Proposed Location for the Program: ________________________________

Floor area required for the program (gross and net square feet): __________

Type of spaces required:
- Number of classrooms __________
- Number of labs __________
- Number of offices __________
- Other spaces __________

Place an “X” beside the appropriate selection:

_______ Existing facility will be used as is (area square footage):

_______ Existing facility will require modification (area square footage):

Projected renovation cost:
Estimated relocation cost:
Total funding required:
Source of Funding:

_______ Construction of new facilities will be required in first five years (area square footage):

Estimated construction cost:
Estimated total project cost:
Proposed source of funding:

_______ Construction of new facilities within 10 years is anticipated if enrollment projections are met or exceeded

List any infrastructure impacts that the program will have (i.e., parking, power, HVAC, etc.) and indicated estimated cost and source of funding.

Other comments:
NEW DEGREE OR MAJOR PROGRAMS

Academic Affairs Policy Statement No. 1

1. References


b. Bylaws of the University Council of the University of Georgia, 1988.

2. Policy

a. Effective this date and until rescinded, programs of academic work shall not be added to the curriculum of the University of Georgia unless recommended by the University Curriculum Committee in accordance with the Bylaws of the University Council, submitted by the President of the University of Georgia to the Chancellor, and approved by the Board of Regents of the University System. Policy and implementing guidance outlined herein are applicable to all Academic Degree Programs involving 30 hours or more of course work in a field of study. The policy statement may be reproduced for local use. Minor programs (less than 30 hours of course work) and non-degree certificate programs shall be subject to separate policy statements and implementing guidelines. No provisions stated herein are intended to conflict with the Bylaws or the Academic Affairs Handbook.

b. A formal proposal is required when academic units contemplate adding a new degree or major program to the curricula of the institution. The procedure to be followed in developing the formal proposal appears in paragraph four of this statement.

3. Responsibility

a. Faculty

The responsibility for developing a new degree or major program resides with the faculty in each academic unit; however, only academic programs which promise to contribute to or otherwise enhance the mission of the University of Georgia should be considered for development.

b. Administrative

It shall be the responsibility of each school or college to ensure that all proposals receive appropriate faculty review prior to submission to the next higher administrative level. Both the head of the academic unit and the appropriate dean of the school or college submitting a proposal must review all proposals before they are submitted to the next higher administrative level. The Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost shall be responsible for reviewing proposals and providing any needed internal and external coordination of procedures. This shall include making appropriate recommendations to the President of the University on program proposals which are transmitted to the Board of Regents for action. The Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost shall keep unit (library, institute, department, school or college) heads informed of the current status of proposals as they move through the review stages required by governance procedures.
c. **Points of contact**
   Academic units contemplating the development of new undergraduate degree or major programs should consult with the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. For new graduate degree or major programs, academic units should consult with the Dean of the Graduate School.

4. **Procedure**
   The Board of Regents requires the submission of a formal proposal in support of a new degree or major program. The proposal should be consistent with the University mission and follow the format provided on the forms attached to this policy.

5. **Routing of Proposal**
   All undergraduate proposals will be submitted by deans of respective schools or colleges or directors of institutes directly to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. Graduate Program proposals must first be reviewed by the Dean of the Graduate School who will then forward them to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. Proposals for all new programs or changes in existing programs will be reviewed by the University Curriculum Committee and subsequently forwarded with a recommendation to the University Council for consideration. University Council recommendations on proposals are forwarded to the Office of the Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost who will transmit the same to the President of the University for consideration. The President will transmit proposals to the Board of Regents with his recommendation.

6. **System Review**
   a. The Office of the Senior Vice Chancellor for Academics and Fiscal Affairs will, as deemed appropriate, seek the advice of outside consultants in evaluating a program proposal.

   b. As part of the review process for formal proposals, the Office of the Senior Vice Chancellor for Academics and Fiscal Affairs will disseminate to all University System of Georgia institutions, on a regular basis, a list of program proposals under consideration, and will invite interested parties to request a copy of the proposal for review and comment. Information received through this process will be considered in evaluating the proposals.

   c. Once approved, all programs will undergo a system review during the seventh year of operation. This review is designed to evaluate how well the program is meeting the expectations that were laid out in the formal proposal.
University System of Georgia

Baccalaureate and Master's Degree Procedures
Modified January 4, 2010

Criteria and Procedures for New Programs

Criteria for the Evaluation of Bachelor's and Master's Program Proposals

The Office of Academic Programs expects that each program proposal will:

1. Demonstrate programmatic need at the state, regional and/or national levels.
2. Provide evidence that program graduates will be regionally/nationally competitive, as appropriate to mission and demonstrate that a program will provide outstanding contributions to Georgia and be competitive with the best programs in the nation.
3. Identify outcomes for students who complete a proposed program (i.e., knowledge skills, values and competencies to be demonstrated by graduates, career opportunities).
4. Demonstrate full financial program support through institutional commitment of resources sufficient to guarantee program excellence. Include a program's/institution's ability to obtain external resources.
5. Identify highly qualified program faculty, who cover an array of subspecialties in their discipline or area, and enough full-time faculty to assure that a program will not be built on part-time or temporary faculty.
6. Show, where appropriate, plans are in place for students to experience practica, internships, and clinical placements.
7. Show how the proposing institution will help students complete their degrees in a timely manner.
8. Demonstrate adequacy of core offerings in support of a new program proposal.
9. Demonstrate that a program will attain accreditation in a reasonable time if it is in a discipline or an area in which specialized or professional accreditation is available.
10. Provide evidence of institutional resources that will be expended specifically for a program (i.e., personnel, library, equipment, laboratories, supplies and expenses, capital expenditures and other) at two critical times: a) program start-up, b) at the time of a program's first comprehensive program review.

Process for the Review of Bachelor's and/or Master's Program Proposals

The Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia's purpose in reviewing proposed new programs is to examine a new program proposal in the context of the Board of Regents/University System of Georgia academic operations, state needs, and the USG strategic plan. A primary goal of the Board of Regents/University System of Georgia is to offer high quality educational opportunities for the citizens of Georgia. With this goal in mind, new bachelor's and master's program proposals should use the process defined below. The process involves the development of one document, a full formal proposal that would have garnered institutional support through internal processes before submission.

One Step Process: Revised Formal Proposal

Proposals will be posted on the web for informational and institutional feedback by the Office of Academic Programs. The Board of Regents/University System of Georgia review will be based upon the aforementioned criteria for evaluation of bachelor's and/or master's programs. The revised formal proposal requires the submission of only one document with all pertinent details
that would have previously been found separately in a letter of intent (preliminary) and formal proposal.

Proposals should address the following issues and may be submitted electronically or in hard copy format:

1. Basic information: Name of institution, institutional contact (President or Vice President of Academic Affairs), institutional contact for program, school/office, department, name of proposed program, degree, degree inscription, major, CIP code, anticipated starting date. Ensure that degree nomenclature is aligned with national, regional, and state norms as well as accrediting body requisites, where applicable, for the discipline with due consideration for accurate representation of program content, facilitation of promotion and marketing, and consistency with the nomenclature of similar degrees.

2. Description and Objective of the Degree – abstract suitable for presentation to the Board of Regents.

3. Program fit to institutional mission and to nationally accepted trends in the discipline.

4. Program proposal demonstrates demand and justification in discipline/geographic region/state/nation and is not unnecessary program duplication.

5. Institutional resources that will be expended specifically for the program (i.e., personnel, library, equipment, laboratories, supplies and expenses, capital expenditures and other - at two times: program start-up and when the program undergoes its first comprehensive program review).


7. Student admissions criteria.

8. Availability of assistantships (if appropriate), provisions to assist students who transfer in or out of this program degree.

9. Anticipated student learning and other outcomes for students who complete the proposed program.

10. Administration.

11. Accreditation.

12. Projected enrollment, revenues, and expenditures for the first three years.

13. Facilities implications of the proposed program.

14. Inventory of faculty directly involved. For each faculty member, give the following data: name, rank, highest degree, degrees earned, academic discipline, current workload for a typical semester, explanation of how workload will be impacted with the addition of the proposed program; expected responsibilities in the proposed program. If it will be necessary to add faculty in order to begin the program, give the desired qualifications of the persons to be added, with a timetable for adding new faculty and plan for funding new positions.

Review by System Office of the Board of Regents, University System of Georgia

Upon receipt at the System Office, a new program proposal will be posted on the web for information and institutional feedback. The system office review is based on the criteria for evaluation. The Office of Academic Programs will also consult the appropriate Regents Advisory Committee (disciplinary committee) for additional review of the curriculum. The program review staff will make a recommendation regarding acceptance of the proposal to the University System Chief Academic Officer and Executive Vice Chancellor.
System-wide Review

As part of the process for reviewing proposals, the Office of Academic Programs will disseminate to all University System of Georgia institutions, on a regular basis, a list of program proposals under consideration, and will invite interested parties to request a copy of proposals for review and comment. Information received through this process will be considered in evaluating proposed programs.

Follow-up Review

Should a program be approved, it will, during its seventh year of operation, undergo a review by the University System of Georgia Office of Academic Affairs commensurate with the institution’s comprehensive program review timetable. This review will evaluate how well the program is meeting the expectations that were outlined in the proposal.
UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF GEORGIA

BACCALAUREATE AND MASTER’S DEGREES

NEW PROPOSAL FORM: ONE-STEP PROCESS
(Submit One Copy)

REVISED FORMAL PROPOSAL

Institution:

Institutional Contact (President or Vice President for Academic Affairs):

Date:

School/Division:

Department:

Departmental Contact:

Name of Proposed Program/Inscription:

Degree:

Major:

CIP Code: Anticipated Starting Date:

1. Program Description and Objectives:
   a. Objectives of the program
   b. Needs the program will meet
   c. Brief explanation of how the program is to be delivered
   d. Prioritization within the institution’s strategic plan

2. Description of the program’s fit with the institutional mission and nationally accepted trends in the discipline.

3. Description of how the program demonstrates demand and a justification of need in the discipline and geographic area and is not unnecessary program duplication.
4. Brief description of institutional resources that will be used specifically for the program (e.g., personnel, library, equipment, laboratories, supplies and expenses, capital expenditures at program start-up and when the program undergoes its first comprehensive program review.

5. Curriculum: List the entire course of study required and recommended to complete the degree program. Provide a sample program of study that would be followed by a representative student.
   a. Clearly differentiate which courses are existing and which are newly developed courses. Include the course titles as well as acronyms and credit hour requirements associated with each course.
   b. Append course descriptions for all courses (existing and new courses).
   c. When describing required or elective courses, list all course prerequisites.
   d. Provide documentation that all courses in the proposed curriculum have met all institutional requirements for approval.
   e. Append materials available from national accrediting agencies or professional organizations as they relate to curriculum standards for the proposed program.
   f. Indicate ways in which the proposed program is consistent with national standards.
   g. If internships or field experiences are required as part of the program, provide information documenting internship availability as well as how students will be assigned and supervised.
   h. Indicate the adequacy of core offerings to support the new program.

6. Admissions criteria. Please include required minima scores on appropriate standardized tests and grade point average requirements.

7. Availability of assistantships (if applicable).

8. Student learning outcomes and other associated outcomes of the proposed program.

9. Administration of the program:
   a. Indicate where the program will be housed within the academic units of the institution.
   b. Describe the administration of the program inclusive of coordination and responsibility.

10. Waiver to Degree-Credit Hour (if applicable): If the program exceeds the maximum credit hour requirement at a specific degree level, then provide an explanation supporting the increase in hours (Note: The maximum for bachelor’s degrees is 120-semester credit hours and the maximum for master’s degrees is 36-semester credit hours).

11. Accreditation: Describe disciplinary accreditation requirements associated with the program (if applicable).
12. Projected enrollment for the program especially during the first three years of implementation. Please indicate whether enrollments will be cohort-based.

13. Faculty
   a. Provide an inventory of faculty directly involved with the administration of the program. For each faculty member, provide the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Highest Degree</th>
<th>Degrees Earned</th>
<th>Academic Discipline</th>
<th>Current Workload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Explanation of how workload will be impacted by the new program:

Expected responsibilities in the program:

Total Number of Faculty: ____________

b. If it will be necessary to add faculty in order to begin the program, give the desired qualifications of the persons to be added, with a timetable for adding new faculty and plan for funding new positions.

14. Fiscal, Facilities, Enrollment Impact, and Estimated Budget
   a. Provide a narrative that explains how current institutional resources will be expended specifically for this program. Provide a narrative that explains how the institution will fiscally support the establishment of the new program through the redirection of existing resources and acquisition of new resources. Indicate whether the institution will submit a request for new funds as part of its budget request. The narrative also needs to explain the basis of the institution's projections with regard to anticipated EFT, head count, student enrollment, estimated expenditures, and projected revenues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS</th>
<th>First Year FY</th>
<th>Second Year FY</th>
<th>Third Year FY</th>
<th>Fourth Year FY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shifted from other programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New to the institution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Majors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Sections Satisfying Program Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Program Course Sections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Hours Generated by Those Courses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing enrollments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New enrollments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Credit Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEGREES AWARDED**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel – reassigned or existing positions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Personnel Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Existing Personnel Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXPENDITURES (Continued)**

**Personnel – new positions**

| Faculty          |  |  |  |  |
| Part-time Faculty |  |  |  |  |
| Graduate Assistants |  |  |  |  |
| Administrators   |  |  |  |  |
| Support Staff    |  |  |  |  |
| Fringe Benefits  |  |  |  |  |
| Other personnel costs |  |  |  |  |
| **Total New Personnel Costs** |  |  |  |  |

**Start-up Costs (one-time expenses)**

| Library/learning resources |  |  |  |
| Equipment                  |  |  |  |
| Other                      |  |  |  |

| Physical Facilities: construction or major renovation |  |  |  |
| **Total One-time Costs** |  |  |  |

**Operating Costs (recurring costs – base budget)**

| Supplies/Expenses |  |  |  |
| Travel            |  |  |  |
| Equipment         |  |  |  |
| Library/learning resources |  |  |  |
| Other             |  |  |  |
| **Total Recurring Costs** |  |  |  |

**GRAND TOTAL COSTS**
### III. REVENUE SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Funds</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reallocation of existing funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New student workload</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Tuition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other grants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student fees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New state allocation requested for budget hearing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Funds</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-time funds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRAND TOTAL REVENUES**
Facilities Information for New Academic Programs

Proposed Location for the Program: ________________________________

Floor area required for the program (gross and net square feet): __________

Type of spaces required:
- Number of classrooms __________
- Number of labs __________
- Number of offices __________
- Other spaces __________

Place an “X” beside the appropriate selection:

_______ Existing facility will be used as is (area square footage):

_______ Existing facility will require modification (area square footage):

Projected renovation cost:
Estimated relocation cost:
Total funding required:
Source of Funding:

_______ Construction of new facilities will be required (area square footage):

Estimated construction cost:
Estimated total project cost:
Proposed source of funding:

List any infrastructure impacts that the program will have (i.e., parking, power, HVAC, etc.) and indicated estimated cost and source of funding.

Other comments:

Note: A system office Facilities Project Manager (through the Office of Facilities) may contact you with further questions separate from the review of the new academic program.
University System of Georgia

Doctoral Degree Procedures
Modified January 4, 2010

Criteria and Procedures for New Programs

The Office of Academic Affairs expects that each doctoral program proposal will:

1. Demonstrate program need at the state and/or national level (national level required for doctoral proposals).
2. Provide evidence that program graduates will be nationally competitive and demonstrate that the program has high quality (especially doctoral) and will provide outstanding contributions to Georgia.
3. Provide evidence that doctoral graduates will be capable of creating knowledge and contributing to their discipline or area through a career of research and/or scholarship.
4. Provide evidence that applied doctoral program graduates can apply knowledge, serve as leaders in the field, and aspire to excellence in professional practice.
5. Demonstrate full financial program support through an institutional commitment of resources sufficient to guarantee program excellence and/or the ability to obtain external resources.
6. Build upon existing graduate program strengths.
7. Identify highly qualified program faculty with national and/or international reputations, researching and publishing in primary refereed journals (books, or other venues) as appropriate to their discipline, who have experience directing doctoral dissertations and also cover an array of subspecialties in their discipline or area; and enough full-time tenured and tenure track faculty to assure that the program will not be built on part-time or temporary faculty.
8. Show that the program has a clear plan to socialize doctoral students into the discipline or area by teaching, participating in research and research conferences, or having some other clearly delineated professional socialization experience.
9. Show that plans are in place for doctoral program students to experience practica, internships, and clinical placements, as appropriate and demonstrate high institutional standards are in place to guide terminal academic research and advanced professional dissertations.
10. Show that goals are in place for normative time to degree, with consideration of how to help students complete their degrees in a timely manner.
11. Demonstrate financial support is available or may be obtained for most full-time graduate students during their studies; if possible, stipends large enough to attract highly qualified students.
12. Demonstrate that, if the program is in a discipline or an area in which specialized or professional accreditation is available, it will attain accreditation in a reasonable time.
13. Provide evidence of the institutional resources that will be expended specifically for this program (e.g., personnel, library, equipment, laboratories, supplies and expenses, capital expenditures and other, etc.) at two times during the development and maturation of the program: at initial start-up and during the program’s first comprehensive program review.

Process for Review of Doctoral Program Proposals

The Board of Regents of the University System of Georgia’s purpose in reviewing proposed new programs is to examine a new program proposal within the context of the Board of Regents/
University System of Georgia academic operations, state needs, and the USG strategic plan. A primary goal of the Board of Regents/University System of Georgia is to offer high quality educational opportunities for the citizens of Georgia. With this goal in mind, new doctoral program proposals should use the process defined below. The process involves the development of one document, a revised formal proposal that would have garnered institutional support through internal processes before submission.

One Step Process: Revised Formal Proposal

Proposals will be posted on the web for informational and institutional feedback by the Office of Academic Programs. The Board of Regents/University System of Georgia review will be based on the criteria for doctoral programs. The revised formal proposal requires the submission of only one document with all pertinent details that would have previously been found separately in a letter of intent (preliminary) and formal proposal. Supplemental criteria for applied doctoral programs developed at non-research universities can be found on this website as well at the following url: http://www.usg.edu/academic_programs/new_programs/. Proposals should address the following areas and may be submitted electronically or in hard copy format:

1. Basic Information: Name of institution, institutional contact (President or Vice President for Academic Affairs), institutional contact for proposed program, school/office, department.
2. Programmatic information – name of proposed program, degree, major, degree inscription, CIP code, anticipated start date. Ensure that the degree nomenclature is aligned with national, regional, and state norms as well as accrediting body requisites, where applicable, for the discipline with due consideration for accurate representation of program content, facilitation of promotion and marketing, and consistency with the nomenclature of similar degrees.
3. Description and objective of the degree – abstract suitable for presentation to the Board of Regents.
4. Program fit to institutional mission and to nationally accepted trends in the discipline.
5. Program demonstrates a response to demand and is justified within the discipline/geographic region/state/nation and is not unnecessary program duplication.
6. Institutional resources that will be expended specifically for this program (e.g., personnel, library, equipment, laboratories, supplies and expenses, capital expenditures and other — at two times: program start-up and when the program undergoes its first comprehensive program review).
7. Curriculum.
8. Student admissions criteria.
9. Availability of assistantships as well as outcomes associated with the program inclusive of careers/jobs available to graduates.
10. Anticipated student learning and other outcomes associated with completion of the proposed program.
11. Administration.
12. Accreditation.
13. Projected enrollment, revenues, and expenditures for the first three years of program implementation.
14. Facilities implications of the proposed program.
15. Inventory of faculty directly involved. For each faculty member, give the following data: name, rank, highest degree, degrees earned, academic discipline, current workload for a typical semester, explanation of how workload will be impacted with the addition of the proposed program; expected responsibilities in the proposed program. If it will be
necessary to add faculty in order to begin the program, give the desired qualifications of persons to be added, with a timetable for adding new faculty and a plan for funding new positions.

16. External Reviews – Each institution will provide a list of five to eight reviewers from aspirational or comparable programs/institutions, and should include an explanation of why the reviewers were suggested. This list should not include individuals who the department or institution consulted during the process of program proposal development.

Review by the Board of Regents/University System of Georgia

Upon receipt at the System Office, a new program proposal will be posted on the web for information and institutional feedback. The Office of Academic Programs will also consult the appropriate Regents Advisory Committee(s) (e.g., academic or administrative committees) for additional review of the proposal. The program review staff will make a recommendation regarding acceptance of the proposal to the University System Chief Academic Officer and Executive Vice Chancellor.

System-wide Review

As part of the process for reviewing proposals, the Office of Academic Programs will disseminate to all University System of Georgia institutions, on a regular basis, a list of program proposals under consideration, and will invite interested parties to request a copy of proposals for review and comment. Information received through this process will be considered in evaluating the proposal.

Follow-up Review

Should a program be approved, it will, during its seventh year of operation, undergo a review by the University System of Georgia Office of Academic Affairs commensurate with the institution’s comprehensive program review timetable. This review will evaluate how well the program is meeting the expectations that were outlined in the formal proposal.
REvised FORmAL PROPOSAL

Institution:

Institutional Contact (President or Vice President for Academic Affairs):

Date:

School/Division:

Department:

Departmental Contact:

Name of Proposed Program/Inscription:

Degree:

Major:

CIP Code: Anticipated Starting Date:

1. Program Description and Objectives:
   a. Objectives of the program
   b. Needs the program will meet
   c. Brief explanation of how the program is to be delivered
   d. Prioritization within the institution’s strategic plan

2. Description of the program’s fit with the institutional mission and nationally accepted trends in the discipline.

3. Description of how the program demonstrates demand and a justification of need in the discipline and geographic area (region, state, and nation) and is not unnecessary program duplication.

4. Brief description of institutional resources that will be used specifically for the program (e.g., personnel, library, equipment, laboratories, supplies and expenses, capital
expenditures at program start-up and when the program undergoes its first comprehensive program review.

5. Curriculum: List the entire course of study required and recommended to complete the degree program. Provide a sample program of study that would be followed by a representative student.
   a. Clearly differentiate which courses are existing and which are newly developed courses. Include the course titles as well as acronyms and credit hour requirements associated with each course.
   b. Append course descriptions for all courses (existing and new courses).
   c. When describing required or elective courses, list all course prerequisites.
   d. Provide documentation that all courses in the proposed curriculum have met all institutional requirements for approval.
   e. Append materials available from national accrediting agencies or professional organizations as they relate to curriculum standards for the proposed program.
   f. Indicate ways in which the proposed program is consistent with national standards.
   g. If internships or field experiences are required as part of the program, provide information documenting internship availability as well as how students will be assigned and supervised.
   h. Indicate the adequacy of foundation course offerings to support the new program.

6. Admissions criteria. Please include required minima scores on appropriate standardized tests, grade point averages, and master’s level graduate degree attainment.

7. Availability of assistantships.

8. Student learning outcomes and other outcomes of the proposed program.

9. Administration of the program:
   a. Indicate where the program will be housed within the academic units of the institution.
   b. Describe the administration of the program inclusive of coordination and responsibility.

10. Waiver to Degree-Credit Hour (if applicable): If the program exceeds the total credit hours normally associated with similar programs offered both within and outside of the system, provide the institution’s rationale for increased credit hour requirements.

11. Accreditation: Describe disciplinary accreditation requirements associated with the program (if applicable).

12. Projected enrollment for the program (especially during the first three years of implementation). Please indicate whether enrollments will be cohort-based.
13. Faculty
   a. Provide an inventory of faculty directly involved with the administration of the program. For each faculty member, provide the following information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Highest Degree</th>
<th>Degrees Earned</th>
<th>Academic Discipline</th>
<th>Current Workload</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   Explanation of how workload will be impacted by the new program:

   Expected responsibilities in the program:

   Total Number of Faculty: ____________

   b. If it will be necessary to add faculty in order to begin the program, give the desired qualifications of the persons to be added, with a timetable for adding new faculty and plan for funding new positions.

14. External Reviews: Provide a list of five to eight reviewers, external to the system, from aspirational or comparable programs/institutions. This list should include an explanation of why the reviewers were suggested. This list should not include individuals for whom the department or institution has consulted during the process of program proposal development.

15. Fiscal, Facilities, Enrollment Impact, and Estimated Budget
   a. Provide a narrative that explains how current institutional resources will be expended specifically for this program. Provide a narrative that explains how the institution will fiscally support the establishment of the new program through the redirection of existing resources and/or acquisition of new resources. Indicate whether the institution will submit a request for new funds as part of its budget request. The narrative also needs to explain the basis for the institution’s projections with regard to anticipated EFT, head count, student enrollment, estimated expenditures, and projected revenues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS</th>
<th>First Year FY</th>
<th>Second Year FY</th>
<th>Third Year FY</th>
<th>Fourth Year FY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shifted from other programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New to the institution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Majors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course Sections Satisfying Program Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Program Course Sections**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit Hours Generated by Those Courses</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing enrollments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New enrollments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Credit Hours**

**DEGREES AWARDED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
<th>EFT Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel – reassigned or existing positions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Personnel Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Existing Personnel Costs**

**EXPENDITURES (Continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Personnel – new positions</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-time Faculty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other personnel costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total New Personnel Costs**

| Start-up Costs (one-time expenses)           |   |   |   |
| Library/learning resources                   |   |   |   |
| Equipment                                    |   |   |   |
| Other                                        |   |   |   |

**Total One-time Costs**

**Operating Costs (recurring costs – base budget)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplies/Expenses</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library/learning resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Recurring Costs**
16. Supplemental Applied Doctoral Degree Criteria for Non-Research Universities (if applicable): If the proposed program has been developed by a non-research university in terms of University System of Georgia sector differentiation for institutions, then the following supplemental criteria will need to be submitted along with the new proposal.

**Supplemental Criteria for Applied Doctoral Degrees – Points of Clarification**

Please describe how the institution meets each of the qualifying principles below:

a. Proposals must clearly demonstrate high and sustained market demand for the professional degree.

b. The proposing institution must clearly demonstrate readiness to implement the degree program and be prepared to cover all startup costs. Proposals must clearly demonstrate that the program’s infrastructure is sustainable by having available faculty resources and other support attributes.

c. The proposed doctoral degree curriculum must be of high quality, including a significant requirement for independent, original research.

d. A program may not be proposed if there is a cost-effective and high-quality alternative delivery approach that could be offered through a proximate institutional partnership and/or hosting arrangement.

e. The institution must demonstrate a history of success in delivering undergraduate and/or master's degrees in the discipline(s) of the proposed doctorate.

f. The institution must demonstrate that establishment of the program will not
diminish its commitment to existing undergraduate and master's degree programs offered.
Facilities Information for New Academic Programs

Proposed Location for the Program: ____________________________________________

Floor area required for the program (gross and net square feet): ________________

Type of spaces required:

- Number of classrooms __________
- Number of labs __________
- Number of offices __________
- Other spaces __________

Place an “X” beside the appropriate selection:

_____ Existing facility will be used as is (area square footage):

_____ Existing facility will require modification (area square footage):

- Projected renovation cost:
- Estimated relocation cost:
- Total funding required:
- Source of Funding:

_____ Construction of new facilities will be required (area square footage):

- Estimated construction cost:
- Estimated total project cost:
- Proposed source of funding:

List any infrastructure impacts that the program will have (i.e., parking, power, HVAC, etc.) and indicated estimated cost and source of funding.

Other comments:

Note: A system office Facilities Project Manager (Office of Facilities) may contact you with further questions separate from the review of the new academic program.