MINUTES
Educational Affairs Committee
February 12, 1991

The committee met at 9:30 a.m. in the Dean's Conference Room of the
College of Business Administration. Present were: Dan DerVartanian,
Delmer Dunn, Peter Dress, Karen Kuers, Sharan Merriam, Stan Longman,
David Radcliffe, Bruce Shutt, and Betty Whitten. Ileana Arias was
absent. Also present was Dr. Betsey Barth of the College of Business.
Dr. Whitten presided over the meeting.

The minutes of the January 28, 1991 meeting were approved as
presented.

Dr. Whitten introduced Dr. Barth who presented a letter that she had
written to the committee on behalf of the College of Business. The
letter focused on the college's perception of inconsistencies in the
criteria used for academic dismissal and those used for designating
honors graduates. Academic dismissal utilizes only The University of
Georgia grade point average to determine dismissal. The designation of
honors graduation utilizes the student's overall grade point average,
which includes transfer as well as University of Georgia work. Dr. Barth
indicated that a more consistent policy would use the overall grade point
average or University of Georgia grade point average to determine both
honors designation and academic dismissal. She also stated that the
clarity of presentation in the Undergraduate Bulletin was also an issue.

In the discussion that ensued several questions were posed that were
designed to make the college's position as clear as possible. Dr. Barth
answered the questions. Dr. Dunn pointed out that the new Bulletin
contained new language in the academic dismissal section designed to make
the presentation clearer. The changes were made by the Registrar's
office in response to suggestions by Dr. Barth. The committee thanked
Dr. Barth. After discussing the suggestion, the committee by common
consent agreed to determine whether to recommend a change at a later
meeting.

The committee discussed a policy on course drops and withdrawals as
well as a policy on when changes on the Bulletin become effective. After
making several changes in the proposed language, the committee deferred
consideration of the policies.

The committee then turned to other items on the agenda. It was
determined that the time remaining permitted discussion of University
policy on course prerequisites, which permits departments to change
course prerequisites after a prerequisite is approved by the Curriculum
Committee. The problem has been that departments have changed
prerequisites and enforced them before they were published in the
Undergraduate Bulletin. The committee approved asking the Curriculum
Committee to study and propose a policy that would require the approval
of the Curriculum Committee for changing course prerequisites.

The next meeting was set for February 26, at 9:00 a.m. The committee
adjourned at 10:45 a.m.